

## **Constraining Mid to Late Holocene Relative Sea Level Change in the Southern Equatorial Pacific Ocean Relative to the Society Islands, French Polynesia**

Rashid Rashid

GEOMAR, Helmholtz Zentrum für Ozeanforschung Kiel, Wischhofstr. 1-3, 24148 Kiel Germany  
(rrashid@geomar.de)

Eisenhauer Anton

GEOMAR, Helmholtz Zentrum für Ozeanforschung Kiel, Wischhofstr. 1-3, 24148 Kiel Germany

Stocchi Paolo

NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, PO Box 59, 1790AB Den Burg, Texel, The Netherlands

Liebetrau Volker

GEOMAR, Helmholtz Zentrum für Ozeanforschung Kiel, Wischhofstr. 1-3, 24148 Kiel Germany

Fietzke Jan

GEOMAR, Helmholtz Zentrum für Ozeanforschung Kiel, Wischhofstr. 1-3, 24148 Kiel Germany

Rüggeberg Andres

University of Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 6, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland.

Dullo Christian

GEOMAR, Helmholtz Zentrum für Ozeanforschung Kiel, Wischhofstr. 1-3, 24148 Kiel Germany

### **Abstract**

Precisely quantifying the current climate-related sea level change requires accurate knowledge of long-term geological processes known as Glacial Isostatic Adjustments (GIA). Although the major post-glacial melting phase is likely to have ended ~6-4 ka BP (before present), GIA is still significantly affecting the present-day vertical position of the mean sea surface and the sea bottom. Here we present empirical rsl (relative sea level) data based on U/Th dated fossil corals from reef platforms of the Society Islands, French Polynesia, together with the corresponding GIA-modelling. Fossil coral data constrains the timing and amplitude of rsl-variations after the Holocene sea level maximum (HSLM). Upon correction for isostatic island subsidence, we find that local rsl was at least  $\sim 1.5 \pm 0.4$  m higher than present at ~5.4 ka. Later, minor amplitude variations occurred until ~2 ka, when the rsl started dropping to its present position with a rate of ~0.4 mm/year. The data match with predicted rsl curves based on global ice-sheet chronologies confirming the role of GIA-

induced ocean siphoning effect throughout the mid to late Holocene. A long lasting Late Holocene highstand superimposed with second order amplitudinal fluctuations as seen from our data suggest that the theoretical predicted timing of rsl change can still be refined pending future calibration.

**Key words:** fossil coral record, U-Th geochronology, sea level highstand, micro atolls

## 1. Introduction

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) predicts a mean sea level rise in the order of ~3.5mm/year as a consequence of greenhouse warming [Alley *et al.*, 2007]. This is likely to contribute to a sea level rise between 29 and 82 centimeters by the end of the century [IPCC report, 2013]. Since about 10% of human population inhabit low coastal regions and islands [McGranahan *et al.*, 2007], it is fundamental to understand the frequency and amplitude of the several natural and anthropogenic mechanisms which contribute to sea level variations. In particular, the knowledge of present-day and future sea level changes strongly relies on our understanding of the past sea level variations [Houghton, 1996]. Geological data show that during the Quaternary period, glacial and interglacial climate conditions have been characterized by a transfer of ~3% of the global ocean water volume between the continental ice sheets and the oceans [Bard *et al.*, 2010; Blanchon *et al.*, 2009; Eisenhauer *et al.*, 1996; Montaggioni *et al.*, 1996; Montaggioni, 2005; Woodroffe and Horton, 2005]. During the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM; ~21 ka) 120-130 m of equivalent sea level were stored in form of large continental ice-sheets over North America, Eurasia, Greenland and Antarctica [Denton and Hughes, 1981]. The post-LGM sea level change was punctuated by short-term periods of slower and faster rise, with higher rates of up to 10 to 15 m/ka [Bard *et al.*, 1996a; Deschamps *et al.*, 2012; Woodroffe and Horton, 2005] during melt-water pulse 1A (14.6-14.3ka). Before and after the Younger Dryas event (12.9-11.6 ka) [Carlson, 2010], the rate of sea level rise was at its maximum [Bard *et al.*, 1996a; Fairbanks, 1989; Blanchon *et al.*, 2009] and caused coral reefs to drown [Camoin *et al.*, 2012; Dullo *et al.*, 1998]. Although the trend and rate of

global mean sea level (msl) change (commonly known as eustatic sea level change) follows the rate of melting/growth of continental ice masses, several coeval mid to late Holocene sea level indicators based on fossil coral reefs found in different regions show that the timing and amplitude of post-glacial sea level variations are not uniform, but strongly depend on the geographical position and varies considerably as a function of the distance from the formerly glaciated areas [Lambeck *et al.*, 2002; Milne *et al.*, 2009; Mitrovica and Peltier, 1991; Mitrovica and Milne, 2002]. Because the msl is an equipotential surface of gravity, it does not only vary in time as a function of addition/removal of ocean water, but also spatially according to the differential variations of the Earth's gravity potential which are triggered by the continental ice-sheet fluctuations [Mitrovica and Peltier, 1991; Peltier, 2002]. Hence, as far as ice-sheets fluctuations are concerned, the oceans do not behave like a bathtub as the eustatic model would imply as it was described in the pioneering study of Suess and Waagen, [1888]. When an ice-sheet melts, in fact, the lack of gravitational pull which was previously exerted by ice mass on the ocean water results in a sea level drop nearby the formerly glaciated area and in a sea level rise higher than the eustatic value at the opposite end [Mitrovica and Milne, 2002; Woodward, 1888]. Hence, the ocean averaged sea level change exactly corresponds to the eustatic change [Suess and Waagen, 1888], but the local sea level change may be significantly different, or even opposite in sign. Furthermore, a time-dependent contribution to the msl variation from the ice-sheets fluctuations exists because of the deformability of the solid Earth with respect to ice and ocean surface mass displacements. In fact, during and after the melting of an ice sheet, the formerly glaciated areas undergo isostatic rebound (rise of the land mass) in order reach new isostatic equilibrium. At the same manner, the uplifted area surrounding the formerly glaciated area subsides, as well as the ocean sea-floor because of the addition of melt water [Mitrovica and Milne, 2002]. This implies that the solid Earth response is both immediate and delayed and can be approximated by a Maxwell viscoelastic body. The solid Earth deformations behave like density variations and directly affect the shape of the geoid and the msl, respectively. However, and more importantly, since both the msl and the solid Earth surface deform during and

after the melting of an ice sheet, any land based marker (sea level indicator like coral reef corals) would record the msl change with respect to the sea bottom, i.e., the local rsl. The feedbacks described so far drive GIA processes and result in rsl changes which depart from eustasy as a function of the distance from the formerly glaciated areas, of the shape of the ocean basins and of the rheology of the solid Earth.

Geological evidences from South Pacific and Indian Ocean islands show that the last 6.5 ka were characterized by a 1-3 m rsl drop [*Banerjee*, 2000; *Deschamps et al.*, 2012; *Eisenhauer et al.*, 1993; *Grossman et al.*, 1998; *Woodroffe and Horton*, 2005] which can be explained by the GIA-induced ocean siphoning effect and the migration of ocean water towards the subsiding peripheral forebulges that surrounded the formerly glaciated areas in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres [*Milne and Mitrovica*, 1998; *Mitrovica and Peltier*, 1991; *Mitrovica and Milne*, 2002]. As a morphological consequence to Mid and Late Holocene regression, the coral reefs from Indian and Pacific Ocean islands developed extended emerged fossil reef platforms which are currently 1-3 m above the msl [*Eisenhauer et al.*, 1999; *Eisenhauer et al.*, 1993; *Grossman et al.*, 1998; *Montaggioni and Pirazzoli*, 1984; *Pirazzoli et al.*, 1988; *Woodroffe and Horton*, 2005]. Because of the geographical location, the late Holocene sea level regression observed at the Indo-Pacific islands is clearly in contrast with the almost eustatic rsl change recorded at the Caribbean islands [*Fairbanks*, 1989; *Woodroffe and Horton*, 2005]. Furthermore, superimposed to the general rsl drop, the Indo-Pacific islands show second order rsl fluctuations in the range of 0.1-1.0 m [*Flood and Frankel*, 1989; *Pirazzoli et al.*, 1988; *Scoffin and Le Tissier*, 1998; *Woodroffe et al.*, 1990; *Young et al.*, 1993] which may be attributed to sea surface temperature (SST) variations in the order of 1 to 2 °C [*Goelzer et al.*, 2012; *Levermann et al.*, 2013].

In general, tropical Pacific areas remain far less understood than their Atlantic counterparts [*Camoin and Davies*, 1998; *Kennedy and Woodroffe*, 2002; *Montaggioni*, 2005]. Also, published rsl records from Indo-Pacific regions and, in particular, from the Society Islands [*Montaggioni and Pirazzoli*, 1984; *Pirazzoli and Montaggioni*, 1988; *Pirazzoli and Pluet*, 1991] are mostly based on

radiocarbon dating and consequently carry higher uncertainty due to the lack of information about the  $^{14}\text{C}$  residence time [Chappell and Polach, 1991; Eisenhauer et al., 1999; Grossman et al., 1998; Kench et al., 2009; Pirazzoli et al., 1988; Scoffin and Le Tissier, 1998; Woodroffe and McLean, 1990]. Furthermore, the geographical position provided in earlier studies as well as the corresponding elevation above mean sea level are less constrained due to the lack of modern “Global Positioning System (GPS)” and improved tidal and atmospheric pressure corrections.

More robust estimates of mid to late Holocene rsl fluctuations in the Indo-Pacific region can be gained by the comparison of U/Th dated corals from different islands and atolls. In particular, the U/Th dating method is independent of any reservoir ages and provides high precision values ranging from ~2 year old sample up to ~600,000 year old sample (c.f., [Stirling and Andersen, 2009]). However, diagenetic changes related to the recrystallization of aragonite to calcite may obscure the actual age of the samples [Bard et al., 1996b; Eisenhauer et al., 1993; Scholz and Mangini, 2007].

The present study aims at better constraining the amplitude and timing of mid to late Holocene rsl changes by means of U/Th dating of fossil corals sampled from emerged platforms of the Society Islands (French Polynesia, South Pacific Ocean). The rsl record presented here is compared to theoretical predictions of GIA-induced rsl changes computed for two available global ice-sheet chronologies [Lambeck et al., 1998; Peltier, 2004] by solving the gravitationally self-consistent Sea Level Equation formalism (SLE) [Farrell and Clark, 1976; Mitrovica and Peltier, 1991; Spada and Stocchi, 2007]. Any difference between empirically determined sea level records and theoretical predictions will help to constrain the geophysical models and basic parameters as well as to determine the timing of the post-glacial melting of the three major ice reservoirs in North-America, Europe and Antarctica.

## **2. Samples and Methods**

### **2.1 Sample location**

Samples for this study were collected from Society Islands, French Polynesia (Figure 1A and B) because these islands are characterized by extended Holocene emerged fossil reef platforms [Davies and Marshall, 1980; Montaggioni and Pirazzoli, 1984; Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1986] being a direct consequence of the decline of the Late Holocene sea level high stand after ~6.5 ka BP. According to the model for epicontinental reef growth [Davies and Marshall, 1980], the presence of these platforms provide suitable sampling localities for mid to late Holocene sea level variations studies [Eisenhauer *et al.*, 1993; Montaggioni and Pirazzoli, 1984; Pirazzoli *et al.*, 1988; Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1986; Yu *et al.*, 2010]. The Society archipelago comprises more than ten islands and atolls elongated in 17°52'S 149°50'W and 15°48'S 154°50'W direction which spread across 720km of the Pacific Ocean [Duncan and McDougall, 1976; Montaggioni, 2011; Peltier, 2002; Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1988]. The islands are elongated in the direction which is virtually parallel to the present absolute motion of the Pacific plate [Blais *et al.*, 2002; Gripp and Gordon, 1990; Uto *et al.*, 2007]. These islands are thought to have originated from a volcanic hotspot presently located around the island of Mehetia about 110 km east of Tahiti [Binard *et al.*, 1991; Binard *et al.*, 1993; Blais *et al.*, 2002; Devey *et al.*, 1990; Nolasco *et al.*, 1998].

According to published chronological studies, the ages of the islands increase with the distance from a hotspot ranging in age from Mehetia (less than 1 Ma old), to Tahiti (~1.67– 0.25 Ma old), to Moorea (~2.15–1.36 Ma old), to Huahine (~3.08– 2.06 Ma old), to Tahaa (~3.39–1.10 Ma old), to Raiatea (~ 2.75–2.29 Ma old) and to Maupiti ~5 Ma old [Duncan *et al.*, 1994; Guillou *et al.*, 2005; Uto *et al.*, 2007; White and Duncan, 1996]. The studies have also shown that, as volcanic islands move away from the hotspot, the oceanic crust becomes progressively cooler and denser and gradually subsiding from the level of its formation. In this case these Society Islands slowly subside as they move further from its point of origin [Neall and Trewick, 2008]. The Holocene subsidence rate of Society Islands has been determined to be ~0 to a maximum of ~0.5 mm/year [Bard *et al.*, 1996a; Fadil *et al.*, 2011; Lepofsky *et al.*, 1996; Pirazzoli *et al.*, 1985; Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1988].

The climate of the Society Islands is tropical with two main seasons. The warm and rainy season (austral summer) runs from November to April. During this period, the conditions are hot and rainy, with the average SST in the order of  $\sim 28^{\circ}\text{C}$  whereas the heavy rains are mostly experienced during December and January. These are the most intense rains along the coastline exposed to the trade winds that usually blow from East (South-East) and North-East direction. During May to October (austral winter), the climate is relatively less humid, with a SST in the order of  $\sim 25^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The available information indicates the tidal range is between 0.3 and 0.4m [Bongers and Wyrski, 1987; Tylor, 1979; Yates *et al.*, 2013]. This is in general accord with the NOAA tide book indicating an average of 0.5m for the Society Islands [NOAA, 2013; Seard *et al.*, 2011].

## 2.2 Sample collection and preparation

The samples were collected during April to May 2009 during the CHECKREEF expedition to the Holocene emerged reef platforms at Moorea, Huahine and Bora Bora (Tab. 1; Fig. 1 B and C). The main targets for sampling have been *in situ* *Porites* in general and *Porites* micro atolls in specific. Criteria for *in situ* sampling have been that fossils corals were found upright in growth position and not showing any indications for later displacement. Samples considered being reworked and transported (conglomerates) in Tab. 1 and 2 are not considered for the rsl-curve. The position of the corals above the present mean sea level (apmsl) was determined by triangulation of the coral's position to the current position of the mean sea level. To achieve this, the laser was placed on top of the sample with the beam pointing horizontally towards the water table. Using a meter rule, the measurement of the elevation was determined relative to the laser beam and the water level. This process was repeated up to 15 times where by the local time is recorded. Using tide table and the local time, the elevation relative to the mean sea level was achieved. These elevations were also compared to our GPS measurement during each time. Certainly, this method is burdened with uncertainties because the sea level position is not well defined due to wave action but careful positioning and repeated measurements allowed the determination of coral positions in the order of

$\pm 0.4$  m. Note, concerning the reconstruction of a Late Holocene sea level curve not only the present day position apmsl rather its past position during corals life time is of certain importance. The past position has to be determined and reconstructed from the individual subsidence rate of the particular island. Local subsidence rates are known (see section 2.4 below) and assumed to be linear. However, the accuracy of the subsidence rate is also burdened with some unknown uncertainty and may also not be linear rather than abrupt and discontinuously. Hence, the uncertainty of about  $\pm 40$  cm attributed to our samples may account for the present day position but also for the uncertainty induced by the subsidence correction. In addition, the height of sea level may also be obscured by the uncertainty of corals position below sea surface. In order to circumvent at least this problem micro-atolls are of certain interest.

In particular the elevation of micro atolls (sample no. 24, 25 and 45 in Tab. 1 and 2) above the sea level put distinct constraints on the position of a past sea level because normally the sea level is only a few centimeters above micro-atolls surface during their formation [Chappell, 1983; Flora and Ely, 2003; Larcombe *et al.*, 1995; Scoffin *et al.*, 1978; Smithers and Woodroffe, 2001; Woodroffe and McLean, 1990; Woodroffe, 2005]. Selected fossil coral samples were taken out of the platforms using hammers and chisels. The elevation interval from which the samples were taken ranges from -1.5 m to less than  $\sim 2$  m apmsl, respectively. The uncertainty of the sample's elevation determination relative to the present msl was conservatively estimated to be in the order of  $\pm 0.4$  m.

Selected samples were cut into slabs ( $\sim 1$  cm thick) along the growth direction. Afterwards, the slabs were washed with Milli-Q water and dried at room temperature in a clean lab fume hood. Using a diamond saw, samples were further cut into smaller blocks within the parallel growth bands by selecting the best parts visually free from any algal or carbonate infill of the pore volume. These blocks were then cut into small pieces (chips) and transferred into teflon beakers for further cleaning using ultra-sonification method [Cheng *et al.*, 2000a]. Cleaned sample were then transferred into a hot plate and dried at  $\sim 35^\circ\text{C}$  overnight. Each sample was then crushed into



powder and the mineralogy of the samples in terms of aragonite or Mg-calcite was determined by X-Ray diffraction (XRD) method.

### 2.3 Uranium and thorium isotope measurements

Uranium series measurements of coral ages were performed at GEOMAR, Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Germany. In brief, separation of uranium and thorium from the sample matrix was done using Eichrom-UTEVA resin followed previously published methods [*Blanchon et al.*, 2009; *Douville et al.*, 2010; *Fietzke et al.*, 2005]. Determination of uranium and thorium isotope ratios was done using the multi-ion-counting inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (MC-ICP-MS) approach using the method of *Fietzke et al.*, [2005]. The ages were calculated using the half-lives published by Cheng et al, [2000b]. For isotope dilution measurements, a combined  $^{233}\text{U}/^{236}\text{U}/^{229}\text{Th}$  spike was used with stock solutions calibrated for concentration using NIST-SRM 3164 (U) and NIST-SRM 3159 (Th) as combi-spike, calibrated against CRM-145 uranium standard solution (formerly known as NBL-112A) for uranium isotope composition and against a secular equilibrium standard (HU-1, uranium ore solution) for the precise determination of  $^{230}\text{Th}/^{234}\text{U}$  activity ratios. Whole-procedure blank values of this sample set were measured between 0.5 pg and 1 pg for thorium and between 10 pg to 20 pg for uranium. Both values are in the range typical of this method and the laboratory [*Fietzke et al.*, 2005].

### 2.4 Correction for the subsidence of the islands

Few studies have been focusing on the assessment of the subsidence rates of the Society Islands (e.g. [*Fadil et al.*, 2011; *Thomas et al.*, 2012]) mostly on Tahiti Island. For Moorea, Huahine and Bora Bora, Pirazzoli et al., [1985] and Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, [1985] conducted a study based on petrological analysis of emerged reef conglomerate available on the shorelines of the islands. The analysis was based on the close inspection of thin sections of exposed coral reef conglomerates. In their study they have found different layers of the conglomerates corresponding to different

diagenetic sequences. The lower sequence exhibit earlier generations of diagenesis developed within marine phreatic zone corresponding to sub tidal environment (e.g. Magnesian Calcite, Pelleted micrite) within inter-particle pore spaces. The upper part exhibit the later generation of diagenesis developed in the marine vadose zone corresponding to mid littoral zone (e.g. irregular rims of truncated aragonite fibres and pendant microstalactites) or the splash zone. Using radiocarbon dating, the type of diagenetic imprint and the stratigraphic elevation of the conglomerates specimen, they have been able to calculate the subsidence rates of the Islands. Based on this study we have directly applied the subsidence rates of Moorea and Huahine (0.14mm/year) and Bora Bora (0.05mm/year) islands for correction of the elevations of our samples.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 U/Th-Age Dating

Table 2 summarizes all measured uranium and thorium data and the calculated U/Th ages. For uranium and thorium isotope analysis only samples with no detectable traces of calcite were used for measurements. The data show that  $^{238}\text{U}$  concentrations vary between  $2.055\pm0.001$  ppm (No. 50, BB-MX7/2) and  $4.26\pm0.01$  ppm (No. 26, H-Tai-11) with a mean  $^{238}\text{U}$  concentration of  $2.986\pm0.005$  ppm. The concentrations of  $^{232}\text{Th}$  vary from  $0.0045\pm0.0001$  ppb (No. 18, HTai-1) to  $0.91\pm0.01$  ppb (No. 11, MCM2) with an average value of  $0.163\pm0.004$  ppb. Both the measured  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{238}\text{U}$  values are in typical range for young corals from oceanic islands [Chen *et al.*, 1991; Delanghe *et al.*, 2002; Edwards *et al.*, 1988; Yokoyama and Esat, 2004]. The  $\delta^{234}\text{U}(\text{T})$  values (Tab. 2, Fig. 2B) show lowest values for sample No. 6 of  $139\pm3\text{‰}$  and highest value of  $151\pm3\text{‰}$  for sample No. 3 and 33. The  $\delta^{234}\text{U}(0)$  values range from  $138\pm3\text{‰}$  (sample No. 6) to  $150\pm3\text{‰}$  for sample No. 3 and 9. Taking the  $\delta^{234}\text{U}$  isotope value of the sea water into account from Fig. 2 it is obvious that most of the  $\delta^{234}\text{U}(\text{T})$  values fall within their statistical uncertainties in the range of the presently most precise  $\delta^{234}\text{U}$  seawater value of  $146.8\pm0.1\text{‰}$  [Andersen *et al.*, 2010]. Twelve samples (marked with \* in the tables 2A, B and C) are slightly but significantly lower and three samples (marked with \*\*)

are significantly higher than this expected value in average by about  $3.7 \pm 0.6\%$ . This deviation from the expected value probably suggests a marginal open system behavior of these samples. A difference of 1‰ in the  $\delta^{234}\text{U}(\text{T})$  value is expected to change a 4.5 ka old coral in the order of about 5 years. Hence, the average absolute difference of those samples slightly off the accepted value results in an age uncertainty of about  $\pm 20$  years. Latter value is within the age uncertainty of our samples being in the order of about 20 to 30 years and can therefore be considered to be negligible.

#### **4. Society Island Relative Sea level Curve, Subsidence Correction and Statistical Age Distribution**

##### **4.1 In situ Corals and Micro-atolls**

For establishing an empirical relative sea level curve based on observation only those corals which can be considered to be *in situ* and being not displaced have to be taken into account. Following this approach we neglect sample Nos. 4, 5, 6 (Tab. 1 and 2; marked by dashed circles in Fig. 3A) originating from Moorea which were already considered to be conglomerate during the field expedition in 2009. Sample No. 7 (Tab. 1 and 2; marked with a dashed circle in Fig. 3A) was originally considered to be *in situ*, however, this sample show an age of ~20 years and is actually supposed to be located at the modern msl rather than an elevation of ~1.8 m apmsl. Hence, we consider this exceptional sample to be not *in situ* rather displaced or being a sampling artifact. Therefore, these samples as well as the samples considered to be conglomerate are arbitrarily neglected for further discussion. For later reconstruction of the apparent past sea level, the age and the elevation apmsl of micro-atolls is of certain interest (marked by squares in Fig. 3). Sample No. 24 (H-Tai-9) and No. 25 (H-Tai-10) from Huahine as well as sample 45 (BB-MX 3/2) from Bora Bora represent such micro-atolls.

##### **4.2 Subsidence Correction**

These Society Islands are volcanic in origin and tend to subside as they move away from the asthenospheric bump and as a result of lithospheric cooling with age [McNutt and Menard, 1978]. Therefore, the islands near hotspot tend to subside more rapidly as they move down the slope of the asthenospheric bump compared to the islands which are further away from the hotspot region [McNutt and Menard, 1978; Scott and Rotondo, 1983a; b]. In Fig. 3A it can be seen that the Moorea and Bora Bora corals tend to have the highest elevation whereas the Huahine corals tend to be at the most shallow positions apmsl. Latter observation may reflect differential subsidence rates of the three islands relative to each other as a function of the islands individual cooling which is assumed to be a function of the distance to the former volcanic hotspot. In order to approach the original sea level position during live time of the corals (“paleo-sea level”), the measured elevations of our samples have to be corrected by the subsidence of the studied islands.

For Moorea island which is located ~130 km from the hotspot region [Blais *et al.*, 2002], we have applied a subsidence rate of 0.14 mm/year according to Pirazzoli *et al.*, [1985]. For Bora Bora island which is located ~390 km away from the hotspot region the height apmsl is corrected by 0.05 mm/year only which is the minimum subsidence rate of the Leeward islands in the Society Islands group [Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1985]. Although Huahine is located ~140 km from Moorea, petrological analysis has claimed that Huahine have similar subsidence rate as Moorea island [Pirazzoli *et al.*, 1985], therefore, the same correction for the subsidence rate was used for this island (Fig. 3B, 4A and B). However, after correction, although they overlap within the error, Huahine corals still tend to indicate slightly shallower sea level positions compared to the other two islands. This may indicate that the Huahine subsidence rate is probably slightly higher than the applied rate of 0.14 mm/year used here for corrections. Note that, our correction and their related uncertainty involves only the uncertainty of our measured sample elevations, because the information about uncertainties concerning the subsidence rates was not available from the cited studies of Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, [1985] and Pirazzoli *et al.*, [1985].

In Fig. 3B after subsidence correction the oldest sample data (No. 34,  $5.38 \pm 0.03$  ka) has reached an elevation of  $\sim 1.50 \pm 0.40$  m apmsl at Huahine island slightly below the highest coral at a position of 1.81 m apmsl of a coral from Huahine island (No. 33). The micro atoll samples No. 24, 25 from Huahine show a subsidence corrected paleo-elevations of  $0.57 \pm 0.40$  m and  $0.53 \pm 0.40$  m apmsl (Fig. 3) which is compatible to the paleo-elevation of the micro-atoll sample 45 from Bora Bora of  $1.09 \pm 0.40$  m apmsl. In particular for the micro-atoll, the position of the sea level is expected to be within few centimeters of the micro-atoll position [Smithers and Woodroffe, 2000].

To our knowledge there are only a few measurements by Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, [1988] from Bora Bora and Huahine which are directly pertinent to our study. We compare these older  $^{14}\text{C}$ -based data with our data presented here after transformation of the  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages to U/Th calendar year using the Calib radiocarbon calibration Program (Calib 6.11 program-Marine09) [Stuiver and Reimer, 1993]. Note, that U/Th ages are calendar year ages (2014) whereas  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages are stratigraphic ages which have to be converted to calendar year ages by using a well-known  $^{14}\text{C}$ -U/Th calibration curve by Stuiver and Reimer, [1993]. The converted U/Th ages (in ka BP) for Bora Bora are: (2BB1:  $2.97 \pm 0.39$  (+0.5 m), 2BB7:  $3.26 \pm 0.36$  (+0.4 m), 2BB5:  $2.80 \pm 0.31$  (+0.6 m)) and for Huahine (2HU1:  $3.92 \pm 0.41$  (+0.3 m), 2HU9:  $3.55 \pm 0.40$  (+0.3 m)). For conversion to calendar years reservoir age corrections have been applied between 350 and 400 years according to the geographical locations [Stuiver and Reimer, 1993]. All these earlier measurements are in general accord with our data presented here.

## 5. Numerical Modeling of the Society Island Sea level Curve(s)

### 5.1 Geophysical model

To compute the mid to late Holocene GIA-induced rsl changes at the Society Islands we solve the gravitationally self-consistent Sea Level Equation (SLE; [Farrell and Clark, 1976; Mitrovica and Peltier, 1991]) by means of the program SELEN [Spada and Stocchi, 2007]. Solving the SLE for a prescribed continental ice-sheet chronology and solid Earth rheology yields the space- and time-

dependent rsl change on a global scale [Wu and Peltier, 1983]. The solution of the SLE implies that the gravitational potential of the sea surface is always constant, i.e., that the sea surface corresponds to the equipotential surface of gravity named geoid [Farrell and Clark, 1976; Spada and Stocchi, 2007; Wu and Peltier, 1983]. This implies that ice-sheet thickness variations are compensated by equivalent ocean-averaged sea level variations (eustatic solution), and that the gravity vector is everywhere perpendicular to the sea surface. The two main ingredients of the SLE are (i) the ice-sheet chronology, which describes the ice-sheets thickness variation through time, and (ii) the solid Earth rheological model, which describes the response of the solid Earth and of the geoid to ice-sheets thickness variation. We solve the SLE by means of the pseudo-spectral method, which allows a direct and fast spectral analysis [Milne and Mitrovica, 1998; Mitrovica *et al.*, 1994; Mitrovica and Peltier, 1991]. For this purpose, the solid Earth and geoid deformations are implemented by means of the “Normal Modes Technique” as introduced by Peltier, [1974]. The latter assumes a spherically symmetric, self-gravitating, rotating and radially stratified solid Earth model [Spada *et al.*, 2006; Spada *et al.*, 2012]. The latter is a 1-D linear model and does not include lateral heterogeneities. The outer shell is elastic and mimics the lithosphere. Between the lithosphere and the inner inviscid core is the mantle. The latter can be discretized into  $n$  Maxwell viscoelastic layers. In this work we discretize the Earth’s mantle into two layers, namely the upper mantle, and the lower mantle. The lithosphere thickness and the viscosity of the mantle layers are the free parameters.

We employ and compare ICE-5G [Peltier, 2004] and RSES-ANU in global ice-sheets chronologies for the post-LGM deglaciation. The ice-sheets models describe the Late Pleistocene ice-sheets thickness variations until present-day and have been constrained by means of geological and archaeological rsl data as well as present-day instrumental observations like GPS-derived vertical and horizontal crustal velocities and Satellite Gravimetry [Peltier, 2004]. Both ice-sheet models depend on the solid Earth rheological, in particular on the thickness of the elastic lithosphere as well as on the number and on the viscosity of Mantle viscoelastic layers which have been employed

within the iterative process [Lambeck *et al.*, 1998]. Hence, each ice-sheet model should be employed within the SLE with the accompanying Earth model [Lambeck *et al.*, 1998; Peltier, 2004; Tushingham and Peltier, 1992]. Consequently we combine ICE-5G chronology with VM2 viscosity profile. The latter is characterized by a 100 km-thick elastic lithosphere and by an upper mantle viscosity of  $5.0 \times 10^{20}$  Pa and a lower mantle viscosity of  $5.0 \times 10^{21}$  Pa [Peltier, 2004]. At the same manner we employ RSES-ANU ice-sheets model with a VKL mantle profile. The latter is characterized by a 65 km-thick elastic lithosphere and by an upper mantle viscosity of  $3.0 \times 10^{20}$  Pa and a lower mantle viscosity of  $10.0 \times 10^{21}$  Pa [Lambeck *et al.*, 2004]. Hereafter, we refer to the solutions for ICE-5G and VM2 as ICE-5G+VM2, and for RSES-ANU and VKL as RSES-ANU+VKL. We use ETOPO1 model for the initial topography and allow for the self-consistent variation of coastlines as well as for the near-field meltwater damping function [Milne and Mitrovica, 1996; 1998]. Also, we include the rsl variations associated with fluctuations of the Earth's rotation vector [Milne and Mitrovica, 1998].

## 5.2 Predicted rsl Curves

### 5.2.1 Eustatic Sea level Change

We compare the rsl curves as predicted for Bora Bora according to RSL-ICE-5G+VM2 (red solid line) and RSL-RSES-ANU+VKL (black solid line), respectively (Fig. 4A). The red and black dashed curves represent the eustatic solutions according to ICE-5G and RSES-ANU, respectively. According to ICE-5G (red dashed line), the global mean sea level rises to almost the present position at  $\sim 4.5$  ka although some minor fluctuations occur still later (Fig. 4A). A different trend is expected according to RSES-ANU deglaciation, which results in a monotonous sea level rise until present (black dashed line, Fig. 4A). For the period under consideration, the main difference between the two eustatic curves depends on the different deglaciation of the Antarctic ice-sheet component in the two global ice-sheets chronologies. While the melting of the Antarctic ice-sheet ends at 4.5 ka in ICE-5G, in RSES-ANU it continues until present-day.

### 5.2.2 Predicted RSL at Society Islands

Both ice-sheets models result in a ~2.0 m rsl highstand which is then followed by a drop until present mainly driven by the ocean siphoning effect. In particular, RSL-RSES-ANU+VKL (black solid line in Fig. 4A) results in a more peaked highstand at ~6 ka, which is then followed by an almost linear rsl drop. The latter is almost specular to the eustatic curve, implying that the local GIA response is significantly stronger than the rate of meltwater release. The RSL- ICE-5G+VM2 model (red solid line) instead, results in an almost stable highstand from about 7.5 ka until 5.0 ka, which is then followed by a short term rise peaking up at 4.5 ka, when most of the melting ceases. Despite the differences in ice-sheets models and mantle viscosity profiles, our modelled rsl curves show an almost undistinguishable rsl drop after 4.0 ka as a function of the ocean siphoning effect.

While for RSL-ICE-5G+VM2 the rsl drop starts by the very end of the melting phase (4.5 ka), the regression predicted according to RSL-RSES-ANU+VKL starts earlier when ~1.8 m of equivalent sea level are still to be released to the oceans from Antarctica. Our GIA modeling results confirm the strength of the ocean siphoning effect, summed to the increase of ocean area due to ice-sheets waxing and flooding of coastal areas, is large enough to fully cloak the eustatic rise.

## 6. Comparison between theoretical data and empirical observations

### 6.1 Factors influencing sea level height observations

In general, *Porites* corals grow from very close to sea level to ~25 m below sea level [Cabioch *et al.*, 1999; Carpenter *et al.*, 2008; Pratchett *et al.*, 2013]. Therefore, fossil coral reef cores do not necessarily provide precise constraints on the position of local sea level because of their considerable range of vertical growth. From these arguments it is clear that we cannot unambiguously establish the actual local sea level curve from sea level observations derived from corals alone. However, we can infer that the Late Holocene sea level curve must have reached a significant height above the present sea level position at this locality and that the true sea level must



lie above the corals position. Micro-atolls are the exception because micro-atolls are formed at the actual sea level position and may even fall dry during low tides. Following this approach we infer that any theoretical predicted sea level curve as modeled in the frame of this project must lie at certain distance above the dated corals but with an almost zero distance for a micro-atoll.

The comparison of our data with our numerical modeling predictions (Fig. 4A) shows in general good agreement. In particular, the micro-atoll sample No. 45 from Bora Bora lies directly along both predicted rsl curves, while Huahine micro-atolls Nos. 24 and 25 are situated 0.3 m below the theoretical curve.

The scatter in the sea level amplitude as seen from our data may therefore be a function of the differences in the coral's position relative to the sea surface and the differences in the subsidence rate. Considering the micro atolls in our study, they seem to best serve as natural and precise recorders of the sea level. For example, in our data, we can clearly see that, after subsidence correction the micro atoll No. 45 from Bora Bora Island clearly marks the sea level around  $2.77 \pm 0.02$  ka (Figure 3B, 4A and B). For Huahine Islands, although the micro atolls No. 24 and 25 overlap within the uncertainty with the normal Porites (Sample No.s 15 ( $3.96 \pm 0.03$  ka), 16 ( $3.66 \pm 0.03$  ka) and 17 ( $3.76 \pm 0.04$  ka) from Moorea but they are plotted slightly lower in elevation (Fig. 3B, 4A and B). From the micro atoll study of Christmas island, Woodroffe et al., [2012] have observed that although micro atolls mark the sea level within few centimeters, they still may show significant differences in elevation as a function of their position in the island. This is probably caused by a differential geoid distortion as a function of the local gravitational field varying as a function between different parts of the island or attenuation of tidal amplitude of the samples collected in the lagoonal areas. Our data can neither support nor preclude the presence of tidal attenuation or geoidal gradient in French Polynesia.

## 6.2 Comparison of empirical to modeled data

The model-based amplitudes are in general accord with the empirical data concerning the maximum amplitude of ~2m and the timing of the rsl at least for the decline of the Holocene sea level from the highstand to the present day position. Note, from our rsl data we cannot distinguish which of the ice-models (RSL-ICE-5G+VM2 or RSL-RSES-ANU+VKL), fits best the observations. This is because there are no data available older than ~5.5 ka necessary for such a specific model verification.

Although most of the samples lie below the predicted rsl curves, a few data mostly from Moorea and Bora Bora lie slightly above the predictions. We may argue the applied subsidence correction of 0.14 mm/year for the Moorea corals has been over estimated and the smaller rate is more suitable. We may also argue that the GIA model should be improved by changing the solid Earth model parameters taking into account the local distortions of the geoid, i.e. mantle viscosity values, lithospheric thickness, or even the ice-sheets chronology, or that these corals are not *in situ* and rather have been displaced from their original positions. In contrast, the applied subsidence correction for Huahine which is also pending independent verification is in general agreement with the theoretical predictions and the other empirical data in general.

In Fig. 4B we also compare the empirical data of this study with earlier model predictions of Mitrovica and Peltier, [1991] who employed ICE-3G ice-sheet chronology. According to ICE-3G, the post-glacial rsl reached modern position approximately 2.5 ka later and resulted in a highstand which is ~1m higher than suggested in this study. It can be seen that most of the empirical data fall within the frame provided by the Mitrovica and Peltier, [1991] curve and are in general accord with our findings. However, none of the micro-atoll positions (Nos. 24, 25, 45, marked by squares) are on or close to the Mitrovica and Peltier, [1991] model curve indicating that the rsl amplitude may be overestimated at these points. In addition all Huahine corals older than about ~4.5 ka (Nos. 21, 22, 26, 33, 34, 37) are also plotting outside the predicted rsl-frame being in contrast to our expectations. Our results support the improvements occurred from the earlier ICE-3G [Tushingham and Peltier, 1992] to the more recent ICE-5G ice-sheet model [Peltier, 2004].

## 7. Conclusions

Collected in situ fossil corals from the Society Islands, French Polynesia clearly indicate that the local Late Holocene sea level there was higher between at least 5.4 ka until the recent past.

Reconstruction of sea level positions based on dated corals is hampered by the relative wide depth range for coral growth in the water, the subsidence rate of the islands where sample are collected and the gravitational geoid deformation of the local sea level height. Both the predicted rsl curves RSL-ICE-5G+VM2 and RSL-RSES-ANU+VKL (Fig. 4A) are in general accord with our empirical data concerning sea level amplitude and timing. In particular the available micro-atoll age (No. 45) from Bora Bora is in full support of both model curves after the Holocene sea level highstand.

The available empirical data cannot distinguish between ICE-5G+VM2 and the RSES-ANU+VKL ice-sheet model because no age data are available for corals older than 5.5 ka due to island subsidence.

Both empirical data and modeling indicate that Society Island sea level dropped by ~2 m since the Holocene maximum at ~4.5 ka BP corresponding to a rate of about 0.4 mm/year. This value has to be considered when sea level rise due to modern global climate change is considered.

## Acknowledgements

The program funding was provided by the ESF funded CHECKREEF project (EI272/22-1, DU129/141-1) and also by GEOMAR, Helmholtz Zentrum für Ozeanforschung, Kiel. The PhD work of Rashid Rashid was financially supported by the Tanzania Ministry of Education and Vocational Training (MoEVT) in collaboration with DAAD (German Academic Exchange Service) fellowship. We gratefully acknowledge Ana Kolevica, Jutta Heinze, Brendan Ledwig, Patrick Reichert and Jeroen van der Lubbe for their assistance in sample processing and data analysis.

## References:

- Alley, R., Berntsen, T., Bindoff, N., Chen, Z., Chidthaisong, A., Friedlingstein, P., Gregory, J., Hegerl, G., Heimann, M., Hewitson, B., Hoskins, B., Joos, F., Jouzel, J., Kattsov, V., Lohmann, U., Manning, M., Matsuno, T., Molina, M., Nicholls, N., Overpeck, J., Qin, D., Raga, G., Ramaswamy, V., Ren, J., Rusticucci, M., Solomon, S., Somerville, R., Stocker, T., Stott, P., Stouffer, R., Whetton, P., Wood, R., Wratt D., 2007. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate. Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Agenda 6, 07.
- Andersen, M. B., Stirling, C. H., Potter, E.-K., Halliday, A. N., Blake, S. G., McCulloch, M. T., Ayling, B. F., and O’Leary, M. J., 2010. The timing of sea-level high-stands during Marine Isotope Stages 7.5 and 9: constraints from the uranium-series dating of fossil corals from Henderson Island. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 74, 3598-3620.
- Banerjee, P., 2000. Holocene and Late Pleistocene relative sea level fluctuations along the east coast of India. *Marine Geology* 167, 243-260.
- Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Arnold, M., Montaggioni, L.F., Cabioch, G., Faure, G., and Rougerie, F., 1996a. Deglacial sea-level record from Tahiti corals and the timing of global meltwater discharge. *Nature*, 382, 241-244.
- Bard, E., Jouannic, C., Hamelin, B., Pirazzoli, P., Arnold, M., Faure, G., and Sumosusastro, P., 1996b. Pleistocene sea levels and tectonic uplift based on dating of corals from Sumba Island, Indonesia. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 23, 1473-1476.
- Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Delanghe-Sabatier, D., 2010. Deglacial meltwater pulse 1B and Younger Dryas sea levels revisited with boreholes at Tahiti. *Science* 327, 1235-1237.
- Binard, N., Hekinian, R., Cheminée, J., Searle, R., Stoffers, P., 1991. Morphological and structural studies of the Society and Austral hotspot regions in the South Pacific. *Tectonophysics* 186, 293-312.

- Binard, N., Maury, R., Guille, G., Talandier, J., Gillot, P., Cotten, J., 1993. Mehetia Island, South Pacific: geology and petrology of the emerged part of the Society hot spot. *Journal of volcanology and geothermal research* 55, 239-260.
- Blais, S., Guille, G., Guillou, H., Chauvel, C., Maury, R.C., Pernet, G., Cotten, J., 2002. The island of Maupiti : the oldest emergent volcano in the Society hot spot chain (French Polynesia). *Bulletin de la Société géologique de France* 173, 45-55.
- Blanchon, P., Eisenhauer, A., Fietzke, J., Liebetrau, V., 2009. Rapid sea-level rise and reef back-stepping at the close of the last interglacial highstand. *Nature* 458, 881-884.
- Bongers, T., and Wyrski, K., 1987. Sea Level at Tahiti-A Minimum of Variability. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 17, 164–168.
- Cabioch, G., Camoin, G., Montaggioni, L., 1999. Postglacial growth history of a French Polynesian barrier reef tract, Tahiti, central Pacific. *Sedimentology* 46, 985-1000.
- Camoin, G.F., Davies, P.J. (Eds.). 1998. *Reefs and carbonate platforms in the Pacific and Indian oceans*. Blackwell science.
- Camoin, G.F., Sear, C., Deschamps, P., Webster, J.M., Abbey, E., Braga, J.C., Iryu, Y., Durand, N., Bard, E., Hamelin, B., 2012. Reef response to sea-level and environmental changes during the last deglaciation: Integrated Ocean Drilling Program Expedition 310, Tahiti Sea Level. *Geology* 40, 643-646.
- Carlson, A. E., 2010. What caused the Younger Dryas cold event? *Geology*, 38, 383-384.
- Carpenter, K.E., Abrar, M., Aeby, G., Aronson, R.B., Banks, S., Bruckner, A., Chiriboga, A., Cortés, J., Delbeek, J.C., DeVantier, L., 2008. One-third of reef-building corals face elevated extinction risk from climate change and local impacts. *Science* 321, 560-563.
- Chappell, J., 1983. Evidence for smoothly falling sea level relative to north Queensland, Australia, during the past 6,000 yr. *Nature* 302, 406-408.
- Chappell, J., Polach, H., 1991. Post-glacial sea-level rise from a coral record at Huon Peninsula, Papua New Guinea. *Nature* 349, 147-149.

- Chen, J., Curran, H., White, B., Wasserburg, G., 1991. Precise chronology of the last interglacial period:  $^{234}\text{U}$ - $^{230}\text{Th}$  data from fossil coral reefs in the Bahamas. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 103, 82-97.
- Cheng, H., Adkins, J., Edwards, R.L., Boyle, E.A., 2000a. U-Th dating of deep-sea corals. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 64, 2401-2416.
- Cheng, H., Edwards, R., Hoff, J., Gallup, C., Richards, D., Asmerom, Y., 2000b. The half-lives of uranium-234 and thorium-230. *Chemical Geology* 169, 17-33.
- Davies, P.J., Marshall, J.F., 1980. A model of epicontinental reef growth. *Nature* 287, 37-38.
- Delanghe, D., Bard, E., Hamelin, B., 2002. New TIMS constraints on the uranium-238 and uranium-234 in seawaters from the main ocean basins and the Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Chemistry* 80, 79-93.
- Denton, G., and Hughes, T., 1981. *The last great ice sheets*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Deschamps, P., Durand, N., Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Camoin, G., Thomas, A.L., Henderson, G.M., Okuno, J., Yokoyama, Y., 2012. Ice-sheet collapse and sea-level rise at the Bolling warming 14,600 years ago. *Nature* 483, 559-564.
- Devey, C.W., Albarede, F., Cheminée, J.L., Michard, A., Mühe, R., Stoffers, P., 1990. Active submarine volcanism on the Society hotspot swell (West Pacific): a geochemical study. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 95, 5049-5066.
- Douville, E., Sallé, E., Frank, N., Eisele, M., Pons-Branchu, E., Ayrault, S., 2010. Rapid and accurate U-Th dating of ancient carbonates using inductively coupled plasma-quadrupole mass spectrometry. *Chemical Geology* 272, 1-11.
- Dullo, W., Camoin, G., Blomeier, D., Colonna, M., Eisenhauer, A., Faure, G., Casanova, J., Thomassin, B., 1998. Morphology and sediments of the fore-slopes of Mayotte, Comoro Islands: direct observations from a submersible. *Reefs and carbonate platforms in the Pacific and Indian Oceans*, 217-236.

- Duncan, R.A., and McDougall, I., 1976. Linear volcanism in French polynesia. *Journal of volcanology and geothermal research* 1, 197-227.
- Duncan, R.A., Fisk, M.R., White, W.M., Nielsen, R.L., 1994. Tahiti: Geochemical evolution of a French Polynesian volcano. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 99, 24341–24357.
- Edwards, R.L., Taylor, F., Wasserburg, G., 1988. Dating earthquakes with high-precision thorium-230 ages of very young corals. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 90, 371-381.
- Eisenhauer, A., Wasserburg, G.J., Chen, J.H., Bonani, G., Collins, L.B., Zhu, Z.R., Wyrwoll, K.H., 1993. Holocene sea-level determination relative to the Australian continent: U/Th (TIMS) and <sup>14</sup>C (AMS) dating of coral cores from the Abrolhos Islands. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 114, 529-547.
- Eisenhauer, A., Zhu, Z., Collins, L., Wyrwoll, K., Eichstätter, R., 1996. The Last Interglacial sea level change: new evidence from the Abrolhos islands, West Australia. *Geologische Rundschau* 85, 606-614.
- Eisenhauer, A., Heiss, G., Sheppard, C., Dullo, W., 1999. Reef and island formation and Late Holocene sea-level changes in the Chagos islands. *Ecology of the Chagos Archipelago*, 21-31.
- Fadil, A., Sichoix, L., Barriot, J.P., Ortéga, P., Willis, P., 2011. Evidence for a slow subsidence of the Tahiti Island from GPS, DORIS, and combined satellite. *Comptes Rendus Geoscience* 343, 331–341.
- Fairbanks, R.G., 1989. A 17,000-year glacio-eustatic sea level record: influence of glacial melting rates on the Younger Dryas event and deep-ocean circulation. *Nature* 342, 637-642.
- Farrell, W., and Clark, J.A., 1976. On postglacial sea level. *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society* 46, 647-667.
- Fietzke, J., Liebetrau, V., Eisenhauer, A., Dullo, C., 2005. Determination of uranium isotope ratios by multi-static MIC-ICP-MS: method and implementation for precise U-and Th-series isotope measurements. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* 20, 395-401.

- Flood, P., Frankel, E., 1989. Late Holocene higher sea level indicators from eastern Australia. *Marine Geology* 90, 193-195.
- Flora, C.J., and Ely, P.S., 2003. Surface growth rings of *Porites lutea* microatolls accurately track their annual growth. *Northwest Science* 77, 237–245.
- Goelzer, H., Huybrechts, P., Raper, S., Loutre, M., Goosse, H., Fichefet, T., 2012. Millennial total sea-level commitments projected with the Earth system model of intermediate complexity LOVECLIM. *Environmental Research Letters* 7, 045401.
- Gripp, A.E., and Gordon, R.G., 1990. Current plate velocities relative to the hotspots incorporating the NUVEL-1 global plate motion model. *Geophysical Research Letters* 17, 1109-1112.
- Grossman, E.E., Fletcher III, C.H., Richmond, B.M., 1998. The Holocene sea-level highstand in the equatorial Pacific: analysis of the insular paleosea-level database. *Coral Reefs* 17, 309-327.
- Guillou, H., Maury, R.C., Blais, S., Cotten, J., Legendre, C., Guille, G., Caroff, M., 2005. Age progression along the Society hotspot chain (French Polynesia) based on new unspiked K-Ar ages. *Bulletin de la Société géologique de France* 176, 135-150.
- Houghton, J.T., 1996. *Climate change 1995: The science of climate change: contribution of working group I to the second assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.
- IPCC, 2013: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, in press.
- Kench, P., Smithers, S., McLean, R., Nichol, S., 2009. Holocene reef growth in the Maldives: Evidence of a mid-Holocene sea-level highstand in the central Indian Ocean. *Geology* 37, 455-458.
- Kennedy, D., and Woodroffe, C., 2002. Fringing reef growth and morphology: a review. *Earth-Science Reviews* 57, 255-277.



- Lambeck, K., Smither, C., Ekman, M., 1998. Tests of glacial rebound models for Fennoscandia based on instrumented sea-and lake-level records. *Geophysical Journal International* 135, 375-387.
- Lambeck, K., Yokoyama, Y., Purcell, T., 2002. Into and out of the Last Glacial Maximum: sea-level change during Oxygen Isotope Stages 3 and 2. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 21, 343-360.
- Lambeck, K., Antonioli, F., Purcell, A., Silenzi, S., 2004. Sea-level change along the Italian coast for the past 10,000 yr. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 23, 1567-1598.
- Larcombe, P., Carter, R., Dye, J., Gagan, M., Johnson, D., 1995. New evidence for episodic post-glacial sea-level rise, central Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Marine Geology* 127, 1-44.
- Lepofsky, D., Kirch, P.V., Lertzman, K.P., 1996. Stratigraphic and paleobotanical evidence for prehistoric human-induced environmental disturbance on Mo'orea, French Polynesia. *Pacific Science* 50, 253-273.
- Levermann, A., Clark, P.U., Marzeion, B., Milne, G.A., Pollard, D., Radic, V., Robinson, A., 2013. The multimillennial sea-level commitment of global warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110, 13745-13750.
- McGranahan, G., Balk, D., Anderson, B., 2007. The rising tide: assessing the risks of climate change and human settlements in low elevation coastal zones. *Environment and Urbanization* 19, 17-37.
- McNutt, M., Menard, H., 1978. Lithospheric flexure and uplifted atolls. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 83, 1206-1212.
- Milne, G.A., Mitrovica, J.X., 1996. Postglacial sea-level change on a rotating Earth: first results from a gravitationally self-consistent sea-level equation. *Geophysical Journal International* 126, F13-F20.
- Milne, G.A., Mitrovica, J.X., 1998. Postglacial sea-level change on a rotating Earth. *Geophysical Journal International* 133, 1-19.
- Milne, G.A., Gehrels, W.R., Hughes, C.W., Tamisiea, M.E., 2009. Identifying the causes of sea-level change. *Nature Geoscience* 2, 471-478.

- Mitrovica, J.X., and Peltier, W., 1991. On postglacial geoid subsidence over the equatorial oceans. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 96, 20053-20071.
- Mitrovica, J., Davis, J., Shapiro, I., 1994. A spectral formalism for computing three-dimensional deformations due to surface loads: 2. Present-day glacial isostatic adjustment. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 99, 7075-7101.
- Mitrovica, J.X., and Milne, G.A., 2002. On the origin of late Holocene sea-level highstands within equatorial ocean basins. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 21, 2179-2190.
- Montaggioni, L.F., and Pirazzoli, P.A., 1984. The significance of exposed coral conglomerates from French Polynesia (Pacific Ocean) as indicators of recent relative sea-level changes. *Coral Reefs* 3, 29-42.
- Montaggioni, L., Cabioch, G., Faure, G., Rougerie, F., 1996. Deglacial sea-level record from Tahiti corals and the timing of global meltwater discharge. *Nature* 382, 18.
- Montaggioni, L.F., 2005. History of Indo-Pacific coral reef systems since the last glaciation: development patterns and controlling factors. *Earth-Science Reviews* 71, 1-75.
- Montaggioni, L., 2011. Tahiti/Society Islands, in: Hopley, D. (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Modern Coral Reefs. Structure, Form and Process*, 1073-1075.
- Neall, V.E., Trewick, S.A., 2008. The age and origin of the Pacific islands: a geological overview. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 363, 3293-3308.
- NOAA, 2013. Tide tables 2013, High and low water predictions Central and Western Pacific Ocean and Indian Ocean. North Wind Publishing, Brewer, ME 04915, USA.
- Nolasco, R., Tarits, P., Filloux, J.H., Chave, A.D., 1998. Magnetotelluric imaging of the Society Islands hotspot. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 103, 30287-30309.
- Peltier, W., 1974. The impulse response of a Maxwell Earth. *Reviews of Geophysics* 12, 649-669.
- Peltier, W.R., 2002. On eustatic sea level history: Last Glacial Maximum to Holocene. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 21, 377-396.

- Peltier, W., 2004. Global glacial isostasy and the surface of the ice-age Earth: The ICE-5G (VM2) model and GRACE. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 32, 111-149.
- Pirazzoli, P., and Montaggioni, L., 1985. Lithospheric deformation in French Polynesia (Pacific Ocean) as deduced from Quaternary shorelines. *Proceedings of the 5th Int. Coral Reef Congress, Tahiti*, pp. 195-200.
- Pirazzoli, P., Montaggioni, L., Delibrias, G., Faure, G., Salvat, B., 1985. Late Holocene sea-level changes in the Society Islands and in the northwest Tuamotu atolls. *Proceedings of the 5th Int. Coral Reef Congress, Tahiti*, pp. 131-136.
- Pirazzoli, P.A., and Montaggioni, L.F., 1986. Late Holocene sea-level changes in the northwest Tuamotu islands, French Polynesia. *Quaternary Research* 25, 350-368.
- Pirazzoli, P.A., and Montaggioni, L.F., 1988. Holocene sea-level changes in French Polynesia. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 68, 153-175.
- Pirazzoli, P., Montaggioni, L.F., Salvat, B., Faure, G., 1988. Late Holocene sea level indicators from twelve atolls in the central and eastern Tuamotus (Pacific Ocean). *Coral Reefs* 7, 57-68.
- Pirazzoli, P.A., and Pluet, J., 1991. Holocene changes in sea level as climate proxy data in Europe. *Evaluation of Climate Proxy Data in Relation to the European Holocene. Paleoklimaforschung* 6, 205-225.
- Pratchett, M.S., McCowan, D., Maynard, J.A., Heron, S.F., 2013. Changes in bleaching susceptibility among corals subject to ocean warming and recurrent bleaching in Moorea, French Polynesia. *PloS one* 8, e70443.
- Scholz, D., and Mangini, A., 2007. How precise are U-series coral ages? *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 71, 1935-1948.
- Scoffin, T.P., Stoddart, D., Rosen, B.R., 1978. The nature and significance of microatolls. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences* 284, 99-122.
- Scoffin, T., Le Tissier, M., 1998. Late Holocene sea level and reef-flat progradation, Phuket, South Thailand. *Coral Reefs* 17, 273-276.

- Scott, G.A., Rotondo, G.M., 1983a. A model for the development of types of atolls and volcanic islands on the Pacific lithospheric plate. *Atoll Research Bulletin* 260, 33.
- Scott, G.A., Rotondo, G.M., 1983b. A model to explain the differences between Pacific plate island-atoll types. *Coral Reefs* 1, 139-150.
- Seard, C., Camoin, G., Yokoyama, Y., Matsuzaki, H., Durand, N., Bard, E., Sepulcre, S., and Deschamps, P., 2011. Microbialite development patterns in the last deglacial reefs from Tahiti (French Polynesia; IODP Expedition# 310): implications on reef framework architecture. *Marine Geology*, 279, 63-86.
- Smithers, S. G., and Woodroffe, C. D., 2000. Microatolls as sea-level indicators on a mid-ocean atoll. *Marine Geology*, 168, 61-78.
- Smithers, S. G., and Woodroffe, C. D., 2001. Coral microatolls and 20th century sea level in the eastern Indian Ocean. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 191, 173-184.
- Spada, G., Antonioli, A., Cianetti, S., and Giunchi, C., 2006. Glacial isostatic adjustment and relative sea-level changes: the role of lithospheric and upper mantle heterogeneities in a 3-D spherical Earth. *Geophysical Journal International*, 165, 692-702.
- Spada, G., and Stocchi, P., 2007. SELEN: A Fortran 90 program for solving the “sea-level equation”. *Computers & Geosciences*, 33, 538-562.
- Spada, G., Melini, D., Galassi, G., and Colleoni, F., 2012. Modeling sea level changes and geodetic variations by glacial isostasy: the improved SELEN code. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1212.5061*.
- Stirling, C. H., and Andersen, M. B., 2009. Uranium-series dating of fossil coral reefs: extending the sea-level record beyond the last glacial cycle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 284, 269-283.
- Stuiver, M., and Reimer, P., 1993. Extended (super 14) C data base and revised CALIB 3.0 (super 14) C age calibration program. *Radiocarbon*, 35, 215-230.
- Suess, E., and Waagen, L., 1888. *Das antlitz der erde*. Vol.2. F. Tempsky.
- Taylor, F. J., 1979. *Rhizophora in the Society Islands*. *Pac. Sc.* 33, 173-176.

- Thomas, A. L., Fujita, K., Iryu, Y., Bard, E., Cabioch, G., Camoin, G., Cole, J. E., Deschamps, P., Durand, N., and Hamelin B., 2012. Assessing subsidence rates and paleo water-depths for Tahiti reefs using U–Th chronology of altered corals. *Marine Geology*, 295, 86-94.
- Tushingham, A. M., and Peltier, W., 1992. Validation of the ICE-3G Model of Würm-Wisconsin Deglaciation using a global data base of relative sea level histories. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 97(B3), 3285-3304.
- Uto, K., Yamamoto, Y., Sudo, M., Uchiumi, S., Ishizuka, O., Kogiso, T., and Tsunakawa, H., 2007. New K-Ar ages of the Society Islands, French Polynesia, and implications for the Society hotspot feature. *Earth, Planets, and Space*, 59, 879-885.
- White, W. M., and Duncan, R. A., 1996. Geochemistry and geochronology of the Society Islands: new evidence for deep mantle recycling. *Geophysical Monograph-American Geophysical Union*, 95, 183-206.
- Woodroffe, C., and McLean, R., 1990. Microatolls and recent sea level change on coral atolls. *Nature*, 344, 531-534.
- Woodroffe, C., McLean, R., Polach, H., and Wallensky, E., 1990. Sea level and coral atolls: Late Holocene emergence in the Indian Ocean. *Geology*, 18, 62-66.
- Woodroffe, C. D., 2005. Late Quaternary sea-level highstands in the central and eastern Indian Ocean: a review. *Global and Planetary Change*, 49, 121-138.
- Woodroffe, S. A., and Horton B. P., 2005. Holocene sea-level changes in the Indo-Pacific. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 25, 29-43.
- Woodroffe, C. D., McGregor, H. V., Lambeck, K., Smithers, S. G., and Fink D., 2012. Mid-Pacific microatolls record sea-level stability over the past 5000 yr. *Geology*, 40, 951-954.
- Woodward, R. S., 1888. On the form and position of the sea level. *United States Geol. Survey Bull.* 48, 87-170.

- Wu, P., and Peltier, W., 1983. Glacial isostatic adjustment and the free air gravity anomaly as a constraint on deep mantle viscosity. *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 74, 377-449.
- Yates, M., Le Cozannet, G., Garcin, M., Salai, E., Walker, P., 2013. Multidecadal Atoll Shoreline Change on Manihi and Manuae, French Polynesia. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 29, 870-882.
- Yokoyama, Y., and Esat, T., 2004. Long term variations of uranium isotopes and radiocarbon in the surface seawater recorded in corals. *Global Environmental Change in the Ocean and on Land*, 1, 279-309.
- Young, R., Bryant, E., Price, D., Wirth, L., and Pease, M., 1993. Theoretical constraints and chronological evidence of Holocene coastal development in central and southern New South Wales, Australia. *Geomorphology*, 7, 317-329.
- Yu, K. F., Zhao, J. X., Lawrence, M. G., and Feng, Y., 2010. Timing and duration of growth hiatuses in mid Holocene massive *Porites* corals from the northern South China Sea. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 25, 1284-1292.

### Figure Captions:

**Fig 1:** Location of the French Polynesia where Society Islands are located (A) and the islands of Moorea, Huahine and Bora Bora in particular (B). Figure 1C shows the sample sites along the shore lines of Moorea, Huahine and Bora Bora. Arrows pointing towards numbers refer to Tab. 1 and 2.

**Fig 2:** The decay corrected uranium activity ratios, reported as  $\delta^{234}\text{U}(\text{T})$  as a function of their corresponding ages. The dashed grey line marks the interval of reported modern sea water uranium isotopic composition  $146.8 \pm 0.1\%$  [Andersen *et al.*, 2010]. Most of our data within uncertainties are plotting within this range. The values below or above this range suggest some marginal open system behavior of these samples [Andersen *et al.*, 2010].

**Fig 3:** The heights apmsl of the samples are plotted as a function of their corresponding ages. In Fig. 3A dashed circles mark the corals which are either conglomerate or being displaced from their original positions. Samples marked with squares represent micro-atolls. In Fig. 3B all values have been corrected for their island specific subsidence rate.

**Fig 4:** In Fig. 4A the theoretical predicted rsl-curves are compared with empirical observations. The solid black curve represents the predicted rsl according to RSL-RSES-ANU+VKL (ice sheet model and Mantle profile) and the red solid curve represents the predicted rsl according to RSL-ICE-5G+VM2 (ice sheet chronology and the viscosity profile). The dashed lines represent the eustatic sea levels. In general solid curves represent the full result of the sea level equations which incorporates all the solid Earth and gravitational as well as rotational feedback. The dashed curves represent the hypothetical eustatic sea level change for each ice sheet model. It can be seen that there is a general accord between theoretical predictions and observations. In particular the micro-atoll positions are in general accord with the predictions. In Fig. 4B our empirical observations are compared with an earlier model of Mitrovica and Peltier, [1991]. However, there is a general disagreement between the empirical data and this earlier model prediction.

**Table 1:** Information of sampling locations on Moorea , Huahine and Bora Bora , Society Islands.

**Table 1A:** Information of sampling locations on Moorea

Map code	Sampling Location	Coordinates		Height apsl (m)	Island	Comments
Lagunarium Island					Moorea	
1	L1-2	17°33' 7.62"S	149°46'33.46"W	0.75±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>
2	LI-4	17°33' 7.62"S	149°46'33.46"W	0.75±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>
White Light House					Moorea	
3	WL1	17°32' 31.00"S	149°45'54.69"W	0.75±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>
Reef Papetoaai					Moorea	
4	CB10	17°29' 27.4"S	149°55'15.4"W	1.80±0.40	Moorea	Conglomerate
5	CB11	17°29' 18.8"S	149°54'52.6"W	1.80±0.40	Moorea	Conglomerate
6	CB12	17°29' 29.07"S	149°54'32.9"W	1.80±0.40	Moorea	Conglomerate
7	CB5	17°29' 08.9"S	149°54'07.6"W	1.80±0.40	Moorea	Displaced
8	RP2	17°29' 12.59"S	149°53'7.57"W	0.00±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>
9	RP4	17°29' 12.59"S	149°53'7.57"W	-0.80±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>
10	MCM1	17°29' 7.62"S	149°54'9.74"W	1.10±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>
11	MCM2	17°29' 7.62"S	149°54'9.74"W	1.10±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>
12	MCM5	17°29' 7.62"S	149°54'9.74"W	1.10±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>
13	MCM10	17°29' 8.86"S	149°54'7.64"W	1.10±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>
14	CM1	17°29' 34.89"S	149°55'19.98"W	0.75±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>
15	CM2	17°29' 34.89"S	149°55'19.98"W	0.75±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>
16	CM4	17°29' 26.29"S	149°55'14.83"W	0.75±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>
17	CM7	17°29' 18.84"S	149°54'52.60"W	0.75±0.40	Moorea	<i>in situ</i>

**Note:** the numbers shown in this table indicate the sampling points shown on the map.



**Table 1B:** Information of sampling locations on Huahine

Map code	Sampling Location	Coordinates		Height apsl (m)	Island	Comments
Motu Taiahu					Huahine	
18	H-Tai-1	16°47' 0.50"S	150°56'54.26"W	0.50±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
19	H-Tai-2	16°47' 0.50"S	150°56'54.26"W	0.50±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
20	H-Tai-3	16°47' 0.50"S	150°56'54.26"W	-0.80±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
21	H-Tai-5	16°47' 0.50"S	150°56'54.26"W	1.00±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
22	H-Tai-7	16°46' 31.94"S	150°56'54.89"W	-0.25±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
23	H-Tai-8	16°46' 31.94"S	150°56'54.89"W	0.30±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
24	H-Tai-9	16°46' 31.94"S	150°56'54.89"W	0.00±0.40	Huahine	Micro atoll
25	H-Tai-10	16°46' 31.94"S	150°56'54.89"W	0.00±0.40	Huahine	Micro atoll
26	H-Tai-11	16°45' 12.13"S	150°57'53.39"W	0.75±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
27	H-Tai-12	16°45' 12.13"S	150°57'53.39"W	-0.20±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
28	H-Tai-13	16°45' 12.13"S	150°57'53.39"W	-0.20±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
Mooana Beach					Huahine	
29	H-M-1	16°42' 1.51"S	151°2'18.68"W	0.50±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
30	H-M-2	16°42' 1.51"S	151°2'18.68"W	0.30±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
31	H-M-4	16°42' 1.51"S	151°2'18.68"W	0.10±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
32	H-M-5	16°42' 1.51"S	151° 2'18.68"W	0.00±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
Motu Vavaratea					Huahine	
33	H-MT-1	16°44' 29.66"S	150°58'16.10"W	1.10±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
34	H-MT-2	16°44' 29.66"S	150°58'16.10"W	0.75±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
35	H-V-1	16°44' 28.80"S	150°58'15.66"W	0.25±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
Pass Tiare (Motu Mahare)					Huahine	
36	H-PT-1-A	16°43' 14.08"S	150°58'37.37"W	0.00±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>
37	H-PT-1-2	16°43' 14.08"S	150°58'37.37"W	0.75±0.40	Huahine	<i>in situ</i>

**Note:** the numbers shown in this table indicate the sampling points shown on the map.

**Table 1C:** Information of sampling locations on Bora Bora

Map code	Sampling Location	Coordinates		Height apsl (m)	Island	Comments
Motu Tapu					Bora Bora	
38	BB-MP 3/2	16°29' 43.99"S	151°46'46.92"W	1.10±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>
Motu Mute					Bora Bora	
39	BB-MP 4/2	16°26' 48.49"S	151°46'3.18"W	1.10±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>
40	BB-MP 2/2	16°27' 22.49"S	151°46'20.20"W	1.10±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>
41	BB-MP 5/3	16°26' 36.14"S	151°45'52.75"W	-1.30±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>
Motu Tevairoa					Bora Bora	
42	BB-MP 6/6	16°28' 30.24"S	151°46'55.51"W	-1.30±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>
43	BB-MP 6/5	16°28' 17.90"S	151°47'4.26"W	-1.30±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>
Motu Pitiaau					Bora Bora	
44	BB-MX 1/2	16°33' 6.35"S	151°42'20.01"W	1.30±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>
		Motu Ome			Bora Bora	
45	BB-MX 3/2	16°27' 54.67"S	151°42'44.50"W	0.95±0.40	Bora Bora	Micro atoll
46	BB-MX 4/2	16°27' 54.67"S	151°42'44.50"W	1.40±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>
47	BB-MX 5/1	16°27' 50.86"S	151°42'49.35"W	0.95±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>
48	BB-MX 5/3	16°27' 49.99"S	151°42'50.70"W	0.95±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>
		Motu Pitiaau			Bora Bora	
49	BB-MX 6/3	16°33' 7.49"S	151°44'1.36"W	0.95±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>
50	BB-MX 7/2	16°30' 42.07"S	151°42'4.73"W	1.40±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>
		Motu Mute			Bora Bora	
51	BB-MM-13	16°26' 36.03"S	151°45'53.24"W	0.65±0.40	Bora Bora	<i>in situ</i>

**Note:** the numbers shown in this table indicate the sampling points shown on the map.

**Table 2:** Uranium/Thorium isotopic composition and ages of fossil corals from Moorea (2A), Huahine (2B) and Bora Bora (2C), Society Islands.

**Table 2A:** Uranium/Thorium isotopic composition and ages of fossil corals from Moorea

Sample Number	Island	Sample Label	$^{238}\text{U}$ (ppm)	$\delta^{234}\text{U}(0)$ (‰)	$\delta^{234}\text{U}(T)$ (‰)	$^{232}\text{Th}$ (ppb)	$^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$ (dpm/dpm)	$^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ (dpm/dpm)	Age (ka)	Sampling elevation (m)	Subsidence corrected elevation (m)
1	Moorea	**LI-2	2.877±0.004	148±2	150±2	0.0161±0.0001	0.0304±0.0002	16800±160	2.94±0.03	0.75±0.40	1.16±0.40
2	Moorea	LI-4	3.215±0.004	144±3	145±3	0.0094±0.0001	0.0316±0.0001	33200±400	3.07±0.02	0.75 ±0.40	1.18±0.40
3	Moorea	**WL1	2.230±0.003	150±3	151±3	0.0437±0.0003	0.0189±0.0001	3000±30	1.82±0.02	0.75±0.40	1.01±0.40
4	Moorea	CB 10	3.481±0.007	142±4	143±4	0.505±0.011	0.0214±0.0011	400±20	2.06±0.11	1.80±0.40	2.09±0.40
5	Moorea	CB11	2.994±0.005	143±3	144±3	0.0556±0.0002	0.0267±0.0001	4500±20	2.59±0.02	1.80±0.40	2.16±0.40
6	Moorea	*CB12	2.695±0.005	141±3	142±3	0.331±0.003	0.0229±0.0003	600±10	2.22±0.03	1.80±0.40	2.11±0.40
6	Moorea	*CB12 no.2	2.734±0.002	138±3	139±3	0.336±0.004	0.0229±0.0003	600±10	2.22±0.03	1.80±0.40	2.11±0.40
7	Moorea	CB 5	2.433±0.007	143±6	143±6	0.313±0.007	0.00025±0.00002	6±1	0.021±0.002	1.80±0.40	1.80±0.40
8	Moorea	RP2	2.265±0.003	148±3	148±3	0.0225±0.0002	0.00115±0.00003	400±10	0.109±0.003	0.00±0.40	0.02±0.40
9	Moorea	RP4	2.390±0.004	150±3	150±3	0.365±0.003	0.00078±0.00003	20±1	0.072±0.003	-0.80±0.40	-0.79±0.40
10	Moorea	MCM1	3.470±0.011	142±5	143±5	0.093±0.006	0.0311±0.0002	4000±200	3.02±0.04	1.10±0.40	1.52±0.40
11	Moorea	MCM2	2.597±0.005	146±3	147±3	0.910±0.007	0.0271±0.0001	200±2	2.61±0.02	1.10±0.40	1.47±0.40
12	Moorea	MCM5	3.292±0.005	149±4	150±4	0.024±0.006	0.0260±0.0001	10900±3000	2.51±0.02	1.10±0.40	1.45±0.40
13	Moorea	MCM10	2.684±0.004	149±3	149±3	0.089±0.007	0.0227±0.0002	2100±200	2.19±0.02	1.10±0.40	1.41±0.40
14	Moorea	CM1	3.469±0.005	144±3	146±3	0.0099±0.0001	0.0451±0.0002	48900±700	4.39±0.04	0.75±0.40	1.37±0.40
15	Moorea	CM2	3.607±0.006	145±3	146±3	0.059±0.0003	0.0407±0.0002	7800±40	3.96±0.03	0.75±0.40	1.31±0.40
16	Moorea	CM4	2.934±0.005	146±3	147±3	0.1583±0.005	0.0378±0.0002	2200±70	3.66±0.03	0.75±0.40	1.26±0.40
17	Moorea	CM7	2.598±0.005	147±3	148±3	0.276±0.007	0.0388±0.0002	1100±20	3.76±0.04	0.75±0.40	1.28±0.40

**Table 2B:** Uranium/Thorium isotopic composition and ages of fossil corals from Huahine

Sample Number	Island	Sample Label	$^{238}\text{U}$ (ppm)	$\delta^{234}\text{U}(0)$ (‰)	$\delta^{234}\text{U}(T)$ (‰)	$^{232}\text{Th}$ (ppb)	$^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$ (dpm/dpm)	$^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ (dpm/dpm)	Age (ka)	Sampling elevation (m)	Subsidence corrected elevation (m)
18	Huahine	H-Tai-1	3.892±0.006	145±2	146±2	0.0045±0.0001	0.0351±0.0001	94900±1500	3.41±0.02	0.50±0. 40	0.98±0. 40
18	Huahine	*H-Tai-1 no 2	3.95±0.02	143±2	144±2	0.0045±0.0001	0.0352±0.0003	102900±78200	3.41±0.03	0.50±0. 40	0.98±0. 40
19	Huahine	H-Tai-2	3.367±0.004	144±2	145±2	0.116±0.002	0.0321±0.0003	2900±40	3.12±0.03	0.50±0. 40	0.94±0. 40
20	Huahine	H-Tai-3	3.532±0.009	142±5	144±5	0.628±0.006	0.0477±0.0002	800±10	4.66±0.05	-0.80±0. 40	-0.15±0. 40
21	Huahine	H-Tai-5	3.99±0.01	140±5	142±5	0.105±0.007	0.0499±0.0003	5800±400	4.90±0.06	1.00 ±0. 40	1.69±0. 40
22	Huahine	*H-Tai-7	3.579±0.008	139±5	141±5	0.098±0.007	0.0495±0.0003	5600±400	4.85±0.06	-0.25±0. 40	0.43±0. 40
23	Huahine	H-Tai-8	2.656±0.008	142±5	144±5	0.076±0.007	0.0404±0.0003	4400±400	3.94±0.05	0.30±0. 40	0.85±0. 40
24	Huahine	H-Tai-9	2.964±0.009	142±5	144±5	0.053±0.006	0.0419±0.0012	7200±900	4.08±0.14	0.00±0. 40	0.57±0. 40
25	Huahine	*H-Tai-10	2.966±0.007	140±5	141±5	0.113±0.008	0.0387±0.0015	3100±200	3.78±0.17	0.00±0. 40	0.53±0. 40
26	Huahine	H-Tai-11	4.26±0.01	142±4	144±5	0.039±0.006	0.0498±0.0003	16900±2700	4.88±0.06	0.75±0. 40	1.43±0. 40
27	Huahine	H-Tai-12	3.44±0.01	149±5	150±5	0.050±0.007	0.0430±0.0004	9100 ±1300	4.17±0.07	-0.20±0. 40	0.38±0. 40
28	Huahine	H-Tai-13	2.645±0.007	144±5	146± 5	0.020±0.005	0.0403±0.0003	16800±4500	3.92±0.05	-0.20±0. 40	0.35±0. 40
29	Huahine	H-M-1	2.596±0.006	144±4	147±2	0.055±0.001	0.0402±0.0002	5900±60	3.92±0.04	0.50±0. 40	1.05±0. 40
30	Huahine	H-M-2	2.293±0.002	146±2	147±2	0.0109±0.0001	0.0402±0.0001	26200±300	3.92±0.02	0.30±0. 40	0.85±0. 40
31	Huahine	HM-4	3.639±0.006	147±3	148±3	0.011±0.004	0.0161±0.0001	15900±6000	1.55±0.02	0.10±0. 40	0.32±0. 40
32	Huahine	HM-5	3.183±0.005	147±3	147±3	0.119±0.005	0.0113±0.0001	900±40	1.08±0.02	0.00±0. 40	0.15±0. 40
33	Huahine	H-MT-1	2.593±0.007	149±5	151±5	0.084±0.001	0.0520±0.0002	5000±30	5.07±0.05	1.10±0. 40	1.81±0. 40
34	Huahine	*H-MT-2	3.082±0.004	142±2	144±2	0.156±0.001	0.0547±0.0002	3300±20	5.38±0.03	0.75±0. 40	1.50±0. 40
35	Huahine	H-V-1	2.579±0.003	147±2	147±2	0.0679±0.0003	0.0184±0.0001	2200±10	1.78±0.01	0.25±0. 40	0.50±0. 40
35	Huahine	H-V-1 no 2	2.736±0.002	147±4	148±4	0.0713±0.0007	0.0187±0.0002	2400±200	1.79±0.02	0.25±0. 40	0.50±0. 40
36	Huahine	H-PT-1-A	2.807±0.004	144±3	145±3	0.490±0.002	0.0205±0.0002	400±3	1.98±0.02	0.00±0. 40	0.28±0. 40
37	Huahine	H-PT-1-2	3.937±0.005	142±3	144±3	0.215±0.001	0.0505±0.0002	2900±10	4.95±0.02	0.75±0. 40	1.44±0. 40

**Table 2C:** Uranium/Thorium isotopic composition and ages of fossil corals from Bora Bora

Sample Number	Island's Name	Sample Name	$^{238}\text{U}$ (ppm)	$\delta^{234}\text{U}$ (‰)	$\delta^{234}\text{U(T)}$ (‰)	$^{232}\text{Th}$ (ppb)	$^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$ (dpm/dpm)	$^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ (dpm/dpm)	Age (ka)	Sampling elevation (m)	Subsidence corrected elevation (m)
38	Bora Bora	BB-MP 3/2	2.047±0.001	146±1	147±1	0.055±0.005	0.0261±0.0003	3000±300	2.52±0.03	1.10±0. 40	1.23±0. 40
39	Bora Bora	*BB-MP 4/2	3.142±0.002	144±1	145±1	0.730±0.006	0.0301±0.0002	400±4	2.91±0.02	1.10±0. 40	1.25±0. 40
40	Bora Bora	BB-MP 2/2	3.021±0.001	144±1	146±1	0.201±0.006	0.0324±0.0002	1500±40	3.13±0.02	1.10±0. 40	1.26±0. 40
41	Bora Bora	BB-MP 5/3	3.326±0.002	145±1	147±1	0.177±0.005	0.0466±0.0002	2700±80	4.53±0.02	-1.30±0. 40	-1.07±0. 40
42	Bora Bora	BB-MP 6/6	3.090±0.002	144±1	146±1	0.714±0.005	0.0488±0.0002	700±5	4.75±0.02	-1.30±0. 40	-1.06±0. 40
43	Bora Bora	*BB-MP 6/5	3.094±0.002	142±1	144±1	0.147±0.007	0.0488±0.0002	3200±150	4.76±0.03	-1.30±0. 40	-1.06±0. 40
44	Bora Bora	*BB-MX 1/2	3.505±0.002	144±1	145±1	0.107±0.007	0.0313±0.0002	3200±200	3.03±0.02	1.30±0. 40	1.45±0. 40
45	Bora Bora	BB-MX 3/2	2.767±0.001	145±1	146±1	0.140±0.006	0.0287±0.0002	2000±70	2.77±0.02	0.95±0. 40	1.09±0. 40
46	Bora Bora	BB-MX 4/2	2.858±0.001	145±1	146±1	0.058±0.005	0.0341±0.0002	5200±400	3.29±0.02	1.40±0. 40	1.56±0. 40
47	Bora Bora	BB-MX 5/1	2.493±0.001	145±1	146±1	0.021±0.004	0.033±0.0002	12300±2600	3.18±0.02	0.95±0. 40	1.11±0. 40
48	Bora Bora	**BB-MX 5/3	2.160±0.001	148±1	149±1	0.055±0.006	0.0312±0.0003	3800±400	3.01±0.03	0.95±0. 40	1.10±0. 40
49	Bora Bora	*BB-MX 6/3	2.815±0.002	142±1	144±1	0.033±0.006	0.0328±0.0002	8600±1700	3.18±0.03	0.95±0. 40	1.11±0. 40
50	Bora Bora	*BB-MX 7/2	2.055±0.001	144±1	145±1	0.102±0.007	0.0186±0.0003	1200±70	1.79±0.03	1.40±0. 40	1.49±0. 40
51	Bora Bora	*BB-MM-13	2.661±0.005	142±3	143±3	0.0049±0.0001	0.0249±0.0001	41900±700	2.42±0.02	0.65±0. 40	0.77±0. 40

**Note for table 2:** The  $\delta^{234}\text{U}(0)$  indicates the measured  $^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$  ratios from our samples and the  $\delta^{234}\text{U(T)}$  represents the decay corrected activity ratio calculated from the measured  $^{234}\text{U}(0)$ . All statistical errors are two standard deviations of the mean ( $2\sigma$  mean). \* indicates the samples with  $\delta^{234}\text{U(T)}$  slightly lower, and \*\* indicates the values slightly higher than  $\delta^{234}\text{U}$  seawater value of  $146.8\pm0.1\text{‰}$  [Andersen *et al.*, 2010]. For the correction of subsidence of the Islands, we have used 0.14 mm/year for Moorea and Huahine according to Pirazzoli *et al.*, [1985]. For Bora Bora Island we have used 0.05 mm/year [Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1985]. All samples have been corrected for initial  $^{230}\text{Th}$  by using a  $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$  activity ratio of  $0.6\pm0.2$  [Fietzke *et al.*, 2005].